UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIRGINIA GIUFFRE, Plaintiff, -against- GHISLAINE MAXWELL, Defendant.	15 Civ. 7433 (LAP) ORDER

LORETTA A. PRESKA, Senior United States District Judge:

The Court reiterates here its previous recitation of the applicable law and descriptions of the unsealing process set out in the transcripts dated January 19, 2021 (dkt. no. 1196), July 1, 2021 (dkt. no. 1220), April 19, 2022 (dkt. no. 1254), and November 18, 2022 (dkt. no. 1283). The following are the Court's findings as to the Does designated following its particularized review of the documents noted:

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 003	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, "[t]he burden of demonstrating that a document submitted to a court should be sealed rests on the party seeking such action." DiRussa v. Dean Witter Reynolds Inc., 121 F.3d 818, 826 (2d Cir. 1997). No one has raised any objection to unsealing, and thus no "particular and specific demonstration of fact showing that disclosure would result in an injury" has been made that is sufficient to "overcome the presumption of access." Lytle v. JPMorgan Chase, 810 F. Supp. 2d 616, 630 (S.D.N.Y. 2011). Second, this individual gave a media interview about his or her work for Epstein to the Daily Mail in 2011, weighing against continued sealing. United States v. Avenatti, No. (S1) 19 CR. 373 (PGG), 2020 WL 70952, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 6, 2020) ("In considering whether sealing is appropriate, an important consideration is, of course, whether the information sought to be kept confidential is already public."); 4/19/22 Hearing Tr. at 7:11-16 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who gave media interviews and was identified in the press); see https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1365733/How-Prince-Andrew-shared-room-Epsteins-Caribbean-hideaway-busty-blonde-claimed-brain-surgeon.html.
J. DOE 004	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual gave a media interview about his or her work for Epstein to the Daily Mail in 2011, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 4/19/22 Hearing Tr. at 7:11-16; see https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1365733/How-Prince-Andrew-shared-room-Epsteins-Caribbean-hideaway-busty-blonde-claimed-brain-surgeon.html.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 005	315, 316-7, 340-4, 368, 369-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual testified publicly at Maxwell's criminal trial, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25 (unsealing documents relating to Doe whose name came up publicly at Maxwell criminal trial). Although this individual testified under his or her first name only, he or she publicly waived any right to anonymity after the criminal trial, as Judge Nathan found, and gave an interview using his or her first and last names. See United States v. Maxwell, 20-cr-330, Dkt. nos. 589, 593, 662; https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10380333/Key-witness-Ghislaine-Maxwells-trial-waives-anonymity-makes-sensational-new-claim.html.
J. DOE 006	203, 211, 235-4, 235-13, 249-4, 249-14, 280-1, 321-1, 380, 381-4, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, portions of this individual's deposition were released by the Second Circuit, meaning his or her name and association with this case is already public. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7 (ruling that materials released by the Second Circuit should be unsealed).
J. DOE 007	338, 338-1, 338-9, 369-12, 397, 398, 398-5, 468, 469, 469-2, 479, 514-5, 660-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, portions of this individual's deposition were released by the Second Circuit, meaning his or her name and association with this case is already public. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7 (ruling that materials released by the Second Circuit should be unsealed). This individual's name and relationship to Epstein were also publicly discussed at Maxwell's criminal trial.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 008	144-6, 173-6, 249-13, 369-1, 407-9, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual gave a media interview about his or her interactions with Epstein to Vanity Fair in 2020, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 4/19/22 Hearing Tr. at 7:11-16 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who gave media interviews and was identified in the press); see https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2020/12/confessions-of-a-clintonworld-exile.
J. DOE 009	122-4, 173-6, 180-1, 180-3, 235-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-2, 321-3, 321-4, 338, 338-3, 346-4, 369-1, 384-1, 388, 389-8, 392, 397, 398-1, 466, 467-1, 467-2, 481, 482, 482-1, 482-3, 482-4, 637, 638, 638-1, 638-2, 638-3, 638-4, 638-5, 653, 654, 654-1, 660-3, 697, 698, 698-1, 698-2, 754	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, documents relating to this individual, including emails on which the individual is copied and a substantive declaration by the individual, were released by the Second Circuit, meaning his or her name and association with this case is already public. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7 (ruling that materials released by the Second Circuit should be unsealed).

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 010	173-6, 180-3, 346-4, 369-1, 384-1, 482-2, 638-1, 698-1, 698-2	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 011	173-6, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 340-3, 340-4, 363-7, 369-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already, and his or her name came up at Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 013	249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already, and his or her name came up at Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 014	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 015	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 363-7, 423-4	Doe 15's name shall remain redacted in the document at docket number 363-7. The unsealing of Doe 15's name in this document would disclose sensitive information regarding an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim. Otherwise, this material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures. In addition, this individual gave a press interview in 2011.
J. DOE 016	150-1, 172, 173-6, 229-2, 249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 339, 340-3, 340-4, 363-7, 369-1, 400, 401-5, 423-4, 450-1	Doe 16's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 16 is an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 018	381-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name appears only in an already publicly available police report, and the individual appears to be a member of law enforcement (specifically, an assistant state attorney). Finally, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 019	180-2, 203, 211, 235-10, 235-13, 249-14, 280-1, 321-1, 363-7	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's role in this case has been reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 020	656-2, 947-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, as to Docket Entry 656-2, this is a publicly available news article.
J. DOE 021	435	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. This individual is a public figure, and the only insinuation is that his or her name was contained, along with many others, in an Epstein address book. There is no salacious allegation about him contained in the sole document.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 022	701-1, 947-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25. This individual is a public figure, and none of the materials that relate to him are salacious.
J. DOE 023	150-1, 160, 165-3, 172, 173-5, 173-6, 185-2, 185-3, 185-11, 189, 203, 211, 224, 229, 229-2, 235-4, 247-3, 249-4, 249-13, 272-3, 280-1, 316-6, 320, 321-1, 321-2, 321-3, 321-4, 321-5, 321-6, 339, 340-3, 363-7, 369-1, 369-2, 369-14, 369-15, 407-9, 408-1, 423-4, 641-1, 655, 700, 701-1, 714	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual's role in Epstein's sex trafficking has been widely reported in the media, the Second Circuit unsealed allegations about this individual in this case, and he or she was subject to widely publicized criminal prosecution abroad for sex trafficking. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 024	246, 247-1	This material should be unsealed in full. The sealed material as to this individual is not salacious. Indeed, these documents have already been made public by Professor Dershowitz and this Court.
J. DOE 025	235-13, 381-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 026	381-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 027	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 369-10, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures. Third, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 029	363-7	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only reference is a deposition answer that this individual was a staff member possibly present at a time and place.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 030	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 031	235-4, 405-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious. The only references to this individual are in passing and in a benign context.
J. DOE 032	173-5, 185-11, 235-4, 363-7, 369-10, 656-2	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already, and the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 033	173-6, 235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-3, 340-4, 369-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 034	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 035	231, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-4, 355-2, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and mostly relate to deposition scheduling.
J. DOE 036	144-6, 173-5, 173-6, 180-1, 180-6, 203, 211, 224, 228, 229-4, 235-4, 235-13, 246, 247- 1, 272-5, 272-6, 280-1, 307-8, 320, 321-1, 321-2, 321-3, 321-4, 321-6, 339, 340-1, 340-3, 354, 355-2, 363, 363-1, 363-7, 364, 368, 369-1,	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name came up at Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
	369-2, 369-10, 378, 388, 389-9, 407-6, 407-8, 407-9, 423-1, 423-4, 435, 450-1, 471-1, 510-4, 632-1, 656-2, 657, 947-1	
J. DOE 037	173-6, 180-1, 180-6, 185-3, 249-13, 272-5, 369-1, 388, 389-9, 407-18, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed materials all relate to the same two facts about this individual's association with Maxwell, which is not salacious and has been widely reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 038	272-5, 320, 407-21, 947-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material about this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 039	423-1, 510-4, 656-2	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual is a journalist who investigated Epstein, and thus his or her materials should all be unsealed.
J. DOE 040	172, 173-5, 407-6, 407-8, 407-9, 450-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already, and in fact certain of the sealed documents at issue are news articles. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 041	249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms.
J. DOE 042	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 043	700, 701-1	Doe 43's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 43 is an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly about the subject matter of this litigation and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim.
J. DOE 044	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 369-10, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, and this individual has given multiple interviews to the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; see https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/how-british-teen-model-was-lured-jeffrey-epstein-s-web-n1056901.
J. DOE 045	247-1, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, and this individual has given multiple interviews to the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; see https://www.gloriaallred.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/1200518/2021/01/2019-08-27-Epstein-Teala-Davies.pdf.
J. DOE 046	258-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 047	232-9, 235-8	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name appears only in an already publicly available police report released by the Second Circuit, and the individual appears to be a member of law enforcement (specifically, a detective). Finally, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 048	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 339, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, and this individual testified publicly at a hearing before Judge Berman after Epstein's death. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 049	passim	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual has advocated to this Court that all materials relating to him or her should be unsealed. See, e.g., dkt. no. 1138.
J. DOE 050	269	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual is a medical provider whose name has already been revealed in unredacted documents on the docket. See, e.g., dkt. no. 1256-19.
J. DOE 051	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 052	150-1, 173-6, 340-3, 369-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 057	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 338, 338-6, 423-4	Doe 57's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 57 is an alleged victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged victim.
J. DOE 058	947-1	Doe 58's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 58 is a classic outsider, peripheral to the events at issue. Doe 58 is neither a victim nor associated with Epstein or Maxwell. Any reference to Doe 58 played no apparent role in Judge Sweet's ruling on the motion to which this document was attached.
J. DOE 059	235-10, 380, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual is deceased but his or her name was unsealed in materials released by the Second Circuit.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 061	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.
J. DOE 062	258-3	This material should be unsealed in full. The parties were unable to locate an address for this individual, and therefore no notice was provided (dkt. no. 1116, ¶ 7). However, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious. The material will redact the name of Doe 84, whose identity the Second Circuit kept sealed. Releasing Doe 84's name could harm the privacy interests of the individual the Court of Appeals deemed sealable by allowing the press and others to identify the deponent's identity.
J. DOE 063	144-4, 144-6, 150-1, 173-6, 235-13, 249-13, 315, 339, 340-3, 340-4, 368, 369-1, 423-4, 845, 856	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, this individual has given multiple interviews to the media, and this individual testified publicly at Maxwell's criminal trial under his or her real name. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/26/us/epstein-farmer-sisters-maxwell.html.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 064	280-1, 315, 316-7, 321-1, 339, 340-4, 368, 369-3, 845, 856	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, and this individual has given multiple interviews to the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/26/us/epstein-farmer-sisters-maxwell.html.
J. DOE 065	172, 173-5, 235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 407-6, 423-4, 493-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already, and the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 066	235-4, 235-13, 280-1, 316-7, 321-1, 340-4, 369-3, 381-1	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 067	203, 211, 235-4, 235-13, 249-13, 249-14, 257, 258, 258-3, 258-4, 258-9, 261, 267, 268,	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, portions of this individual's deposition were released by the Second Circuit, meaning his or her name and association with this case is already public. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7. During the criminal trial, one of the named victims testified that Plaintiff and this individual, who were then both over the age of 18, recruited him or her at the age of 14 to

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
	268-2, 280-1, 307-8, 315, 316, 316-2, 316-7, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 339, 340, 340-4, 340-8, 363-7, 368, 369, 369-3, 369-4, 381-3, 388, 389, 389-4, 392, 393, 393-1, 400, 401, 401-6, 423-1, 423-4, 450-1, 510-4, 513, 845, 856	provide massages to Epstein. This Doe's first and last name were provided in open court in connection with these allegations. See Trial Tr. 1517, 1743 (U.S. v. Maxwell, 20-cr-330).
J. DOE 068	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with this case has been reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 069	172, 173-6, 203, 211, 224, 229- 6, 249-4, 249-13, 369-1, 423-4, 435, 607-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 070	228, 235-13, 280-1, 307-8, 321-1, 339, 363, 364, 406, 435	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 071	249-13, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.
J. DOE 072	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.
J. DOE 075	232-7, 232-9, 235-4, 235-8, 235-10, 235-13, 307-8, 363-7, 423-1	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 076	450-1	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. This individual's name also appears in a publicly available police report.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 077	173-5, 173-6, 340-5, 354, 355-2, 363-7, 369-1, 378, 408-1, 423-1, 435, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already, and the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 078	passim	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 079	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-4, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 080	249-13, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 081	655, 656-7, 656-8, 701-1, 714	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 082	249-13, 280-1, 280-2, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 338-9, 398-5, 423-4, 450-1, 450-5, 655, 656-4, 656-8, 700, 701-1, 928, 947-1, 957	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media, this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein, and the Second Circuit unsealed substantial material relating to this individual. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 083	235-13, 280-1, 321-1, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 084	172, 203, 211, 224, 228, 229, 229-11, 231, 235-13, 249-4, 249-13, 257, 258, 258-3, 261, 280-1, 321-1, 321-4, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4, 567, 568, 568-4, 606, 631, 632, 632-1	Doe 84's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 84 is an alleged victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged victim.
J. DOE 085	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only reference is a deposition question in answer to which the deponent denied knowledge of the individual.
J. DOE 086	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 087	173-6, 180-1, 369-1, 423-1, 435, 510-4, 656-2	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual passed away in 2018. But this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already, along with pictures of this individual on Epstein's island. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 088	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only reference is a deposition question in answer to which the deponent denied knowledge of the individual.
J. DOE 089	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-4, 369-10, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms. Finally, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 090	173-6, 340-3, 369-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 091	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 092	None Identified	Any information about this individual should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists mostly of privilege logs and documents discussing privilege logs. Finally, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been widely publicized by the media, this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein, and the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 093	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 094	235-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 095	338-9, 398-5	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious. Finally, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been widely publicized by the media and this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who "has been the subject of intense media coverage").
J. DOE 096	576	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 098	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
		Second, the only reference is a deposition question to which the deponent denied knowledge of the Doe.
J. DOE 099	passim	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media, this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein, and the Second Circuit unsealed substantial material relating to this individual. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 100	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only reference is a deposition question to which the deponent denied knowledge of the Doe.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 101	235-13, 280-1, 321-1, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms.
J. DOE 102	280-2, 338-9, 398-5	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein has already been publicized by the media.
J. DOE 103	235-13, 363-7	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already, and the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and information about his or her relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 104	247-1	Plaintiff acknowledged that this person is deceased and therefore did not receive notice. The Court is unable to locate Doe's name in the listed pleading. Accordingly, any material relating to this Doe should be unsealed in full.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 106	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4, 435	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 108	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 109	185-3, 363, 450-1, 450-5, 568-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein has already been widely publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 110	173-6, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 339, 340-3, 340-4, 363-7, 369-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been widely publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 111	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sole references appear to be in Rule 26 disclosures and search terms.
J. DOE 112	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sole references appear to be in Rule 26 disclosures and search terms.
J. DOE 113	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4, 435	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the references all are included in Rule 26 disclosures, search terms, and a hearsay statement that the name appears in Epstein's address book.
J. DOE 114	173-6, 369-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sole reference is that this individual's name appears to be contained on a flight log.
J. DOE 115	435	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious; the sole reference to this individual is a hearsay statement that the Doe appeared in Epstein's address book.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 116	398-4, 338, 338-8, 468, 469-1, 660-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 117	450-1, 471-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual is a former law enforcement official and, specifically, a former Assistant U.S. Attorney, and this individual's role in the Epstein case is part of a public Office of Professional Responsibility Report.
J. DOE 118	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.
J. DOE 119	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-4, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 120	576, 655, 656-4, 656-5, 656-6, 656-7, 700, 701-1, 947-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media and this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who "has been the subject of intense media coverage").
J. DOE 121	381-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name appears only in an already publicly available police report, and the individual appears to be a member of law enforcement (specifically, a police officer). Finally, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-8.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 122	150-1, 173-6, 203, 211, 224, 235-4, 280-1, 320, 321-1, 321-6, 340-3, 340-4, 369, 369-1, 407, 407-9, 655, 656-, 656-6, 656-9, 700, 701-1, 701-2	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media and this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 123	260-2, 270-6, 272-9	This material should be unsealed. This individual is a medical provider whose name has already been revealed in documents on the docket, unredacted. See dkt. no. 1090-45.
J. DOE 124	701-1	Doe 124's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 124 is a classic outsider, peripheral to the events at issue. Doe 124 is neither a victim nor associated with Epstein or Maxwell. Any reference to Doe 124 played no apparent role in Judge Sweet's ruling on the motion to which this document was attached.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 125	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. Plaintiff sent a non-party notice to this individual and received delivery confirmation. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been publicized by the media. Avenatti.
J. DOE 126	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein has been publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 127	235-13, 280-1, 321-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name appears in a publicly available police report.
J. DOE 128	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 129	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 130	450-1, 471-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual is a former law enforcement official and, specifically, a former Assistant U.S. Attorney, and this individual's role in the Epstein case is part of a public Office of Professional Responsibility Report.
J. DOE 131	203, 211, 232, 235, 235-7, 235-13, 249-4, 258-10, 280-1, 320, 321-1, 368, 369, 369-8, 392, 393, 393-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, portions of this individual's deposition were released by the Second Circuit, meaning his or her name and association with this case is already public. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7.
J. DOE 132	173-5, 173-6, 235-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-5, 363-7, 369-1, 435, 450-1, 510-3, 514-3, 701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. Allegations about this individual have been widely reported in the media, and the Second Circuit unsealed allegations about this individual in this case. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 133	173-5, 235-3, 247-1, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 407-6, 435, 450-1, 701-1	This individual's name and identifying information shall remain sealed in full. Plaintiff does not dispute that this Doe was mistakenly identified in a photograph and that this Doe's characterization as an alleged perpetrator was first introduced by a reporter, not by Plaintiff. In addition, this Doe has sought to avoid public attention to the allegations. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of this Doe.
J. DOE 134	173-6, 228, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 369-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 135	173-6, 235-13, 321-5, 321-6, 340-3, 369-1	Doe 135's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 135 is an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 136	184, 185-3, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 406, 407, 408, 408-1, 423-4, 435	This individual is the same individual as Doe 157. This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media and this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who "has been the subject of intense media coverage").
J. DOE 137	363-7	This material should be unsealed in full. Plaintiff located no address for this person, but the only reference is a deposition question to which the Party denied knowledge of.
J. DOE 138	381-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Further, this individual's name appears only in a public police report and was already released by this Court. See dkt. no. 1199-8.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 139	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and relationship with Epstein.
J. DOE 140	249-13, 280-1, 280-2, 321-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the Second Circuit unsealed this individual's name and relationship with Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 141	249-13, 363-7, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the Second Circuit previously unsealed this individual's name. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 142	228, 249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-4, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 143	passim	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name came up at Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25. This individual was also named as a defendant in a public, federal lawsuit involving the same conduct underlying this action.
J. DOE 145	249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 368, 369, 369-12, 423-4, 513, 514, 514-5, 568-5, 607-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Portions of this individual's 2009 deposition in a matter against Epstein were also unsealed.
J. DOE 146	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the references are not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 148	172, 173-5, 185-11, 228, 235-4, 235-13, 249-13, 363-7, 423-4, 435, 450-1, 701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name was unsealed by the Second Circuit previously. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 149	173-5	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Further, this individual is a law enforcement official, and the only information in the transcript about this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 150	229-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the deposition of this individual's spouse was released with redactions by this Court and previously by the Second Circuit, and the only information in the transcript about this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 152	235-13, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual has given statements to the media in connection with this matter. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7.
J. DOE 153	203, 211, 235-4, 235-13, 249-4, 280-1, 321-1, 338, 423-1, 423-4, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual has given statements and interviews to the media in connection with this matter, and portions of this individual's deposition were released by the Second Circuit. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 7/1/21 Hearing Tr. at 6-7; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wq-KwAa1_JY.
J. DOE 154	173-6, 249-13, 258-4, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 340-3, 340-4, 369-1, 423-4, 450-1, 482-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, and this individual has given interviews to the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; https://people.com/crime/woman-who-recruited-girls-for-jeffrey-epstein-as-teen-rape-survivor-i-was-confused-when-he-died/.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 155	172, 173-6, 203, 211, 224, 229-12, 249-4, 249-13, 368, 369, 369-1, 369-2, 369-13, 388, 389, 389-5, 389-6, 392, 393, 393-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual testified publicly at Maxwell's criminal trial, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25. Portions of this individual's deposition in this matter were also unsealed by the Second Circuit.
J. DOE 156	152, 153-7, 172, 173-6, 185-3, 247-1, 249-13, 339, 340-3, 340-4, 363-7, 368, 369, 369-1, 369-16, 379-3, 406, 407, 407-1, 407-8, 408, 408-1, 423-4, 435, 450-1, 567, 568, 568-5, 606, 607, 607-3, 631, 656-2, 721-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This person's deposition in a prior Epstein-related matter is publicly available, and portions of that deposition were unsealed by the Second Circuit. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 157	249-13, 321-5, 321-6, 338, 338-9, 398-5, 407, 407-9, 423-4, 450-1, 450-5, 660-1	This individual is the same individual as Doe 136. This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name and association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely publicized by the media and this individual has been named as a defendant in public lawsuits relating to Epstein. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25 (unsealing documents relating to Doe who "has been the subject of intense media coverage").
J. DOE 158	173-6, 369-1, 235-13, 320, 450-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's relationship with Epstein has been widely publicized by the media. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 159	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 160	701-1	This material should be unsealed in full. No interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 161	381-1	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual's name appears in a publicly available police report, and the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 162	143, 144-6, 150-1, 172, 173, 173-5, 173-6, 189, 203, 204, 204-1, 211, 212, 212-1, 224, 247-1, 249-4, 249-13, 257, 258, 258-2, 261, 272-7, 280-1, 315, 316, 316-1, 316-6, 316-8, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 338, 338-1, 338-7, 339, 340, 340-3, 340-4, 340-5,	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, this individual gave an interview to the Daily Mail in 2021, and the Second Circuit unsealed substantial information relating to this individual. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10357057/Key-figure-case-against-Prince-Andrew-former-student-claims-met-Epstein-mansion.html.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
	340-6, 363-7, 368, 369, 369-1, 369-2, 369-5, 378, 388, 389, 389-1, 392, 393, 393-1, 400, 401, 401-3, 401-4, 423-4, 450-6, 492, 493, 493-1, 568-5, 660-3, 845, 856	
J. DOE 163	405-1	This individual is a journalist, and thus materials relating to this individual should be unsealed in full.
J. DOE 164	316-7, 340-4, 369-3	Doe 164's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 164 is an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim.
J. DOE 165	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 166	173-5, 173-6, 340-3, 340-4, 363-7, 369-1, 369-10, 407-6, 435, 632-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name came up during Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 167	173-6, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 369-1, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. This individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630.
J. DOE 168	235-13, 249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, all references to this person are either Rule 26 disclosures or search terms.
J. DOE 169	363-7, 435	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been widely reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 170	229-10, 450-3, 482-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, emails containing this individual's name and association with Epstein were previously unsealed by this Court. Third, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 172	173-6, 369-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 173	235-13, 280-1, 321-1, 381-3	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only document that substantively discusses this individual is already publicly available on the docket with this individual's name unredacted. See dkt. no. 1199-10.
J. DOE 174	173-5, 340-5, 363-7, 407-6, 435, 656-2, 657, 701-1, 947-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name came up during Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 175	173-6, 340-3, 340-4, 369-1, 369-10	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein and Maxwell has been widely reported in the media already, and his or her name came up during Maxwell's public criminal trial. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.
J. DOE 176	249-13, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures.
J. DOE 177	235-4, 235-13, 423-1, 510-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious.
J. DOE 178	258-3, 568-4	Doe 178's name and any identifying information shall remain sealed. Doe 178 is an alleged minor victim of sexual abuse who has not spoken publicly and who has maintained his or her privacy. As such, the public interest does not outweigh the privacy interests of the alleged minor victim.
J. DOE 179	173-8, 340-6, 400, 401-3, 450-6	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's name was unsealed in materials released by the Second Circuit.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 180	173-6, 340-3, 369-1	This is the same individual as Doe 181, and the deposition examiner mistakenly used the incorrect name in the deposition transcripts at issue. This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual testified publicly at Maxwell's criminal trial, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25. Portions of this individual's deposition in this matter were also unsealed by the Second Circuit.
J. DOE 181	173-6, 249-13, 280-1, 280-2, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 363-7, 407-9, 423-4, 435	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual testified publicly at Maxwell's criminal trial, weighing against continued sealing. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25. Portions of this individual's deposition in this matter were also unsealed by the Second Circuit.
J. DOE 182	340-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the only reference to this individual is in a deposition question that was never answered.
J. DOE 184	435	This material should be unsealed in full. First, no interests that outweigh the presumption of access have been identified with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's association with Epstein has been reported in the media already. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25.

Pseudonym	Relevant Docket Entries	Ruling
J. DOE 185	235-13, 249-13, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, this individual's allegations against Epstein and others have been previously reported on by the press, this individual has given multiple interviews to the media, and this individual has been a plaintiff in public lawsuits relating to Epstein's sex trafficking. Avenatti, 2020 WL 70952, at *6; 11/18/22 Hearing Tr. at 6:19-25; https://www.cbsnews.com/news/jeffrey-epstein-accuser-speaks-today-livestream-2019-07-16/.
J. DOE 186	235-13, 280-1, 321-1	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms.
J. DOE 187	249-13, 280-1, 321-1, 321-5, 321-6, 407-9, 423-4	This material should be unsealed in full. First, this individual did not raise any objection to unsealing, and thus did not meet his or her burden of identifying interests that outweigh the presumption of access with specificity. DiRussa, 121 F.3d at 826; Lytle, 810 F. Supp. 2d at 630. Second, the sealed material as to this individual is not salacious and consists primarily of Rule 26 disclosures and lists of search terms.

The Court stays its order for fourteen days to permit any impacted Doe the opportunity to appeal, after which counsel are asked to confer, prepare the documents for unsealing pursuant to this order, and post the documents on the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 18, 2023
New York, New York

Loretta A. Preska

LORETTA A. PRESKA
Senior United States District Judge