

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** : **CRIMINAL NO.** \_\_\_\_\_  
**v.** : **DATE FILED:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**RUSS PRITCHARD, III** : **VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1341**  
**GEORGE JUNO** : **(Mail fraud - 6 Counts)**  
: **18 U.S.C. § 1343**  
: **(Wire fraud - 3 Counts)**  
: **18 U.S.C. § 1623**  
: **(False Statement Ancillary to**  
: **a Court Proceeding - 3**  
: **Counts)**  
: **18 U.S.C. § 1512(b)(1)**  
: **(Tampering with a witness**  
: **- 1 Count)**

**INDICTMENT**

**COUNTS ONE THROUGH FOUR**

**THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:**

At all times material to this count of the Indictment:

**THE DEFENDANTS**

1. Defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO were officers of American Ordnance Preservation Association (hereafter "AOPA"), a business engaged in the valuation, appraisal, purchase, and sale of military-related artifacts.
2. AOPA's office was located at 311 Millbank Road, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania.
3. Defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO appeared on the Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) television series Antiques Roadshow as appraisers of military

artifacts. The Antiques Roadshow tape recorded appraisals of military artifacts conducted by the defendants and broadcast those appraisals to a national television audience.

4. To enhance their reputation as experts in appraisals of military artifacts and attract potential sellers of military artifacts to AOPA, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO staged phony appraisal sessions on the Antiques Roadshow.

5. Federal Express and Airborne Express were commercial interstate carriers.

### **THE WILSON SWORD**

6. Major Samuel J. Wilson was a Union officer in the Civil War. Wilson, who fought with General William Tecumseh Sherman during his famous Georgia campaign, was severely wounded during a battle in Georgia, carried from the field, and discharged from service in 1864.

7. On or about February 22, 1864, Major Wilson received a presentation sword (hereafter “the Wilson sword”) from members of the company who served under his command. Engraved on the blade of the sword were the Civil War battle campaigns in which Major Wilson served. The Wilson sword, a Wilson family heirloom, was passed generation-to-generation within the Wilson family since the death of Major Wilson in 1919.

8. In or about early 1997, descendants of Major Wilson (hereafter “the Wilson family”) viewed the defendants’ appearances, including the phony appraisals, on the Antiques Roadshow and contacted AOPA to obtain an appraisal value of the Wilson sword, with no intent to sell the sword.

### **THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD**

9. From in or about early July, 1996 to on or about March 9, 1999, in the Eastern

District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendants

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III  
and  
GEORGE JUNO**

knowingly devised and intended to devise a scheme to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

It was part of the scheme that:

10. The defendants obtained business, and purchased military artifacts from customers attracted to AOPA, through national television exposure of phony appraisal sessions on the Antiques Roadshow.

It was further part of the scheme that:

11. As a result of their scheme, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO fraudulently obtained an 1864 Civil War sword of Major Samuel Wilson for \$7,950 which they resold for \$20,000.

A. THE ROADSHOW "SET UP"

12. On or about July 12, 1996, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III paid for a person known to the grand jury, who was a friend of PRITCHARD, (hereafter "John Doe 1") to fly from Alaska to Seattle, Washington to appear on a phony appraisal session on the Antiques Roadshow.

13. On or about July 12, 1996, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO met with John Doe 1, discussed a phony story to be presented by John Doe 1 for the television session, and provided a sword to John Doe 1 for his appearance.

14. On or about July 13, 1996, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO staged a phony Antiques Roadshow television session for later national broadcast.

15. On or about July 19, 1996, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO

traveled with a person known to the grand jury, who was a friend of PRITCHARD, (hereafter "John Doe 2") to Denver, Colorado to appear on a phony appraisal session on the Antiques Roadshow.

16. On or about July 20, 1996, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO met with John Doe 2, discussed a phony story to be presented by John Doe 2 for the television session, and provided a Revolutionary War sword owned by JUNO to John Doe 2 for his appearance.

17. On or about July 20, 1996, defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO staged a phony Antiques Roadshow television session for later national broadcast.

**B. THE WILSON FAMILY "RIP OFF"**

18. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA obtained the Wilson sword from the Wilson family to appraise the sword after discussing with the Wilson family the defendants' appearances on the Antiques Roadshow, their expertise, and promising that AOPA would provide an accurate appraisal of the sword.

19. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA purchased the Wilson sword from the Wilson family through false representations that the sword was being purchased, conserved, and permanently displayed by the City of Harrisburg National Civil War Museum.

20. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III falsely appraised the Wilson sword at \$7,950, to obtain the sword from the Wilson family at a price below fair market value.

21. Defendant GEORGE JUNO obtained the Wilson sword from defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and used the sword as collateral to secure a loan from a relative to construct JUNO's residence.

22. Defendant GEORGE JUNO assisted a family member sell the Wilson sword to a private collector for \$20,000.

23. Defendants RUSS PRITCHARD, III and GEORGE JUNO provided false statements and documents to the Wilson family to hide the true value of the Wilson sword, the fact that JUNO had used the sword as collateral for a loan, and the fact that the sword was subsequently sold to a private collector for a sum more than double the appraised value of the sword.

24. Defendant GEORGE JUNO presented a false bill of sale to the purchaser of the Wilson sword for signature to hide from the Wilson family the true selling price.

25. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD back-dated the bill of sale and signed the false document as a witness.

26. On or about each of the dates set forth below, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendants

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III  
and  
GEORGE JUNO**

having devised the scheme described above, and attempting to do so, knowingly caused to be delivered by a private or commercial interstate carrier, according to directions thereon, the following items, each use of commercial interstate carrier being a separate count of this indictment:

<u>Count</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>
One	6/9/97	Shipment of Wilson sword by Federal Express from the Wilson family in Rhode Island to AOPA, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania.
Two	7/7/97	Shipment of check for \$7,950 and letter from Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania to George Wilson in New York by Federal Express.

- |       |         |  |
|-------|---------|--|
| Three | 7/17/97 | Shipment of re-issue of check and letter from Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania to George Wilson in New York by Federal Express. |
| Four  | 3/8/99  | Shipment of a letter and bill of sale from Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania to George Wilson in New York by Airborne Express.   |

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

**COUNTS FIVE THROUGH SEVEN**

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

At all times material to this count of the Indictment:

1. Paragraphs 1 through 24 of Count One of this Indictment are incorporated here.
2. On or about each of the dates set forth below, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania,

defendants

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III  
and  
GEORGE JUNO**

having devised the scheme described above, knowingly caused to be delivered by means of wire and television communication in interstate commerce, the following signs, signals, or sounds, each use of communication being a separate count of this indictment:

<u>Count</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>
Five	6/13/97	telephone call from PRITCHARD in Pennsylvania to George Wilson in New York State
Six	6/23/97	telephone call from George Wilson in New York State to PRITCHARD in Pennsylvania
Seven	2/21/99	telephone call from PRITCHARD in Pennsylvania to George Wilson in New York State

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

## COUNTS EIGHT AND NINE

### **THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

At all times material to these counts of the Indictment:

1. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III was an officer of American Ordnance Preservation Association (hereafter “AOPA”), a business engaged in the valuation, appraisal, purchase, and sale of military-related artifacts.

2. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA purchased Civil War artifacts for acquisition by the City of Harrisburg National Civil War Museum.

3. Federal Express is a commercial interstate carrier.

### THE PICKETT ARTIFACTS AND CORRESPONDENCE

4. Major General George E. Pickett was a Confederate officer in the Civil War. Pickett, an officer of the Army of Northern Virginia under General Robert E. Lee, was immortalized by “Pickett’s charge” at Gettysburg on July 3, 1863.

5. Confederate military artifacts belonging to Pickett, photographs, and Pickett correspondence (hereafter “Pickett artifacts and correspondence”) were passed generation-to-generation within the Pickett family since the death of Major General Pickett in 1875. In or about early September, 1995, the Pickett artifacts belonged to George E. Pickett, V (hereafter “Pickett V”).

### THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

6. From in or about early September, 1995 to on or about November 30, 1996, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendant

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III**

knowingly devised and intended to devise a scheme to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

It was part of the scheme that:

7. Beginning in or about September, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III devised a plan to acquire, through false representations, the Pickett artifacts and correspondence from the Pickett family for resale at a substantial profit to the City of Harrisburg National Civil War Museum.

8. In or about early September, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III traveled from Pennsylvania to a nursing home facility in Wilmington, North Carolina to attempt to obtain the Pickett artifacts from the 90-year-old mother of Pickett V, a patient of that facility.

9. On or about September 11, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III asked the mayor of Harrisburg to provide a letter to Pickett V stating that PRITCHARD was “acting on behalf of the museum” in acquiring artifacts for the Harrisburg Civil War Museum.

10. On or about September 18, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III provided Pickett V with a letter from the mayor of Harrisburg introducing PRITCHARD as “acting as an official representative of the City of Harrisburg in his discussions with you.” The letter further described PRITCHARD as having “an extensive background in matters related to Civil War artifacts and has distinguished himself in the field through his research and acquisition activities.”

11. Between on or about September 23, 1995 and the end of October, 1995, PRITCHARD met with Pickett V to discuss the purchase of the Pickett artifacts. PRITCHARD represented himself as an expert in the field of Civil War artifacts and offered to appraise the Pickett artifacts. Pickett falsely represented himself as the City of Harrisburg’s purchasing

representative and falsely stated that he was not receiving a profit from the purchase.

12. In or about the fall of 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III falsely appraised the Pickett artifacts and correspondence as having a value of \$87,000.

13. Defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III advised Pickett V against selling the Pickett artifacts at auction, falsely stating that auction prices would fall below the appraised value provided by PRITCHARD.

14. Based upon fraudulent representations by defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III, the Pickett artifacts and correspondence were purchased by PRITCHARD and AOPA from Pickett V for approximately \$88,800.

15. In or about October and November, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III borrowed Pickett-related photographs from Pickett V and fraudulently returned copies of those photographs to Pickett V, keeping the originals.

16. Between on or about November 6, 1995 and on or about November 26, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA sold the Pickett artifacts, photographs, and correspondence to the City of Harrisburg for approximately \$880,000.

17. On or about each of the dates set forth below, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendant

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III**

having devised the scheme described above, and attempting to do so, knowingly caused to be delivered by a private or commercial interstate carrier, according to directions thereon, the following items, each use of commercial interstate carrier being a separate count of this indictment:

<u>Count</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>
Eight	3/17/96	Shipment of a \$10,800 check from Pritchard in Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania to Pickett V in North Carolina by Federal Express.
Nine	11/30/96	Shipment of copies of photographs from Pritchard in Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania to Pickett V in North Carolina by Federal Express.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

## COUNT TEN

### **THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. On or about July 6, 1998, George E. Pickett, V filed a civil action against defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA, alleging that PRITCHARD and AOPA fraudulently obtained Civil War artifacts from Pickett that had belonged to General George E. Pickett. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was captioned: GEORGE E. PICKETT, V vs. AMERICAN ORDNANCE PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION and RUSS PRITCHARD, III and CITY OF HARRISBURG and docketed at Civil Action No. 98-CV-3460.

2. On or about November 16, 1998, in a proceeding ancillary to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this count, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III gave an oral deposition under oath in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. At the oral deposition, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III was asked a series of questions to determine whether PRITCHARD had participated in fraudulent appraisals during his association with AOPA, specifically in relation to his work with the Public Broadcasting Service (“PBS”) series Antiques Roadshow.

4. It was material to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this count to determine whether defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA had engaged in fraudulent appraisals of military artifacts and deceptive business practices.

5. On or about November 16, 1998, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III, while under oath in a proceeding ancillary to a civil action before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly testified as

follows in response to questions regarding the material matter described in paragraph 3 of this  
Count:

Q. Well I'll ask you in September of 1996, did you have [John Doe 1 referred to  
in Count One] bring a sword to the show?

A. Yes.

. . .

Q. Whole thing was set up, though, correct?

A. I don't recall.

. . .

Q. Did you ever have contact with that sword prior to [John Doe 1] bringing it in?

A. No, Sir.

. . .

Q. Did Mr. Juno know [John Doe 1] was going to bring the sword in?

A. No.

Q. Did you know he was going to bring the sword in?

A. No, Sir. I hoped he was going to bring the sword in.

. . .

Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Juno before he put the value on the  
sword?

A. No, sir, I could not.

. . .

Q. Isn't it a fact you knew what the appraisal value was going to be before he

brought it in?

A. No, Sir. At that time, I was unfamiliar with that type of sword.

. . .

Q. Was it his sword?

A. At the time it was, yes.

. . .

Q. You hadn't been involved in arranging for him to borrow that sword?

A. No sir.

6. The underlined testimony of defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III, as set forth in paragraph 5 of this Count, as he then well knew and believed, was false, in that defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III: 1) arranged for [John Doe 1] to appear on the Antiques Roadshow; 2) paid for [John Doe 1] to travel to Seattle from Alaska to appear on the show; 3) met with [John Doe 1] and George Juno on the evening prior to [John Doe 1] Antiques Roadshow appearance to provide the sword to [John Doe 1]; 4) created with [John Doe 1] and Juno a false story that [John Doe 1] would provide as to the sword's origin; and 5) planned and discussed with [John Doe 1] and Juno the valuation that would be made by Juno the following day when [John Doe 1] appeared on the Antiques Roadshow with Juno.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

## COUNT ELEVEN

### **THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. On or about July 6, 1998, George E. Pickett, V filed a civil action against defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA, alleging that PRITCHARD and AOPA fraudulently obtained Civil War artifacts from Pickett that had belonged to General George E. Pickett, C.S.A. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was captioned: GEORGE E. PICKETT, V vs. AMERICAN ORDNANCE PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION and RUSS PRITCHARD, III and CITY OF HARRISBURG and docketed at Civil Action No. 98-CV-3460.

2. On or about April 21, 1999, in a proceeding ancillary to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this count, defendant GEORGE JUNO gave an oral deposition under oath in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. At the oral deposition, defendant GEORGE JUNO was asked a series of questions to determine whether JUNO and defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III had participated in fraudulent appraisals during their association with AOPA, specifically in relation to their work with the Public Broadcasting Service (“PBS”) series Antiques Roadshow.

4. It was material to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this Count to determine whether defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA had engaged in fraudulent appraisals of military artifacts and deceptive business practices.

5. On or about April 21, 1999, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant GEORGE JUNO, while under oath in a proceeding ancillary to a civil action before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly testified as follows in

response to questions regarding the material matter described in paragraph 3 of this count:

Q. Did you know anything about the [John Doe 1] sword prior to the show?

A. No.

. . .

Q. The individual on the right, do you know who that is?

A. I did not previous to that interview, no.

Q. Do you know it now to be [John Doe 1]?

A. Yes, from the interview.

Q. Did you know that he was friends with Mr. Pritchard?

A. I did not.

Q. As of that date, you didn't know that?

A. No.

Q. When did you find out?

A. It was not until after that interview, quite some time later when we were talking about it, the show was airing that Russ watched it, and said, Oh, I can't believe that that's –that's on.

6. The underlined testimony of defendant GEORGE JUNO, as set forth in paragraph 5 of this Count, as he then well knew and believed, was false, in that Russ Pritchard, III : 1) arranged for [John Doe 1] to appear on the Antiques Roadshow; 2) paid for [John Doe 1] to travel to Seattle from Alaska to appear on the show; 3) met with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO on the evening prior to [John Doe 1] Antiques Roadshow appearance to provide the sword to [John Doe 1]; 4) created with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO a false story that

[John Doe 1] would provide as to the sword's origin; and 5) planned and discussed with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO the valuation that would be made by JUNO the following day when [John Doe 1] appeared on the Antiques Roadshow with JUNO.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

## COUNT TWELVE

### **THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. On or about July 6, 1998, George E. Pickett, V filed a civil action against defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA, alleging that PRITCHARD and AOPA fraudulently obtained Civil War artifacts from Pickett that had belonged to General George E. Pickett, C.S.A. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was captioned: GEORGE E. PICKETT, V vs. AMERICAN ORDNANCE PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION and RUSS PRITCHARD, III and CITY OF HARRISBURG and docketed at Civil Action No. 98-CV-3460.

2. On or about April 21, 1999, in a proceeding ancillary to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this count, defendant GEORGE JUNO gave an oral deposition under oath in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. At the oral deposition, defendant GEORGE JUNO was asked a series of questions to determine whether JUNO and defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III had participated in fraudulent appraisals during their association with AOPA, specifically in relation to their work with the Public Broadcasting Service (“PBS”) series Antiques Roadshow.

4. It was material to the civil action referred to in paragraph 1 of this Count to determine whether defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA had engaged in fraudulent appraisals of military artifacts and deceptive business practices.

5. On or about April 21, 1999, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant GEORGE JUNO, while under oath in a proceeding ancillary to a civil action before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly testified as follows in

response to questions regarding the material matter described in paragraph 3 of this count:

Q. Had you known that this sword was going to be on the show prior to seeing it that time?

A. Absolutely no idea.

. . .

Q. So it's your testimony that you and he [RUSS PRITCHARD, III] never spoke about this [John Doe 1] until the show aired?

A. Absolutely.

. . .

Q. Had you known anything about that sword, ever seen it before that day?

A. Never.

7. The underlined testimony of defendant GEORGE JUNO, as set forth in paragraph 5 of this Count, as he then well knew and believed, was false, in that Russ Pritchard, III : 1) arranged for [John Doe 1] to appear on the Antiques Roadshow; 2) paid for [John Doe 1] to travel to Seattle from Alaska to appear on the show; 3) met with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO on the evening prior to [John Doe 1] Antiques Roadshow appearance to provide the sword to [John Doe 1]; 4) created with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO a false story that [John Doe 1] would provide as to the sword's origin; and 5) planned and discussed with [John Doe 1] and defendant GEORGE JUNO the valuation that would be made by JUNO the following day when [John Doe 1] appeared on the Antiques Roadshow with JUNO.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

## COUNT THIRTEEN

### **THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. In or about late December, 1995, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III provided a loan of \$10,000 to a person known to the grand jury (hereafter “John Doe 1,” referred to in Count One above).

2. On or about July 6, 1998, George E. Pickett, V (hereafter “Pickett”) filed a civil action against defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III and AOPA, alleging that PRITCHARD and AOPA fraudulently obtained Civil War artifacts from Pickett that had belonged to General George E. Pickett, C.S.A. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was captioned: GEORGE E. PICKETT, V vs. AMERICAN ORDNANCE PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION and RUSS PRITCHARD, III and CITY OF HARRISBURG and docketed at Civil Action No. 98-CV-3460.

3. In or about July, 1998, the Federal Bureau of Investigation began an investigation into possible violations of federal criminal law by defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III, AOPA and others.

4. Between July, 1998 and early 1999, Pickett V was engaged in the pre-trial discovery process of locating and interviewing potential witnesses in the civil action referred to in paragraph 2 of this count.

5. Between in or about late 1998 and in or about early 1999, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III advised John Doe 1 that AOPA was under investigation by the F.B.I. and that John Doe 1 may be contacted by the F.B.I. or Pickett’s representatives concerning facts surrounding John Doe 1's prior appearance on the Antiques Roadshow.

6. Between in or about late 1998 and in or about early 1999, defendant RUSS PRITCHARD, III provided John Doe 1 with a false story to be provided to the F.B.I. and Pickett's legal representatives concerning John Doe 1's prior appearance on the Antiques Roadshow. PRITCHARD then advised John Doe 1 that there was no need for the \$10,000, referred to in paragraph 1 of this count, to be repaid.

7. Between in or about late 1998 and in or about early 1999, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendant

**RUSS PRITCHARD, III**

attempted to corruptly persuade a person known to the grand jury, that is John Doe 1, with the intent to influence and prevent the testimony of John Doe 1 in an official proceeding, that is, the civil proceedings related to the Civil Action referred to in paragraph 2 of this Count.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1512(b)(1)

**A TRUE BILL:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**GRAND JURY FOREPERSON**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**MICHAEL R. STILES**  
**United States Attorney**